JS 44 (Rev. 06/

CIVIL COVER SHEET

18-CV-286

The JS 44 civil cover speet and the inference of court. This purpose of initiating the civil docket shall. tained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as ed by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS	}		
Dominico Mirarchi Emelia Mirarchi					18	286	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Philadelphia				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant / Dauphin			
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)					(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES C		
	(NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	ONDEMNATION CASES, USE T OF LAND INVOLVED.	HE LOCATION OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Law Offices of Conrad J.	Address, and Telephone Numbe	(r)		Attorneys (If Known)			
	Benedetto				•		
Conrad J. Benedetto 1615 S. Broad Street, Ph	iladalahia DA 10148	215 380 1000					
1010 S. Bload Sileel, Pi	madelphia PA 19146.	213-369-1900					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)			RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
☐ 1 U.S. Government	■ 3 Federal Question			(For Diversity Cases Only) P	TF DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF	
Plaintiff	U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citiz		I I Incorporated or Pr of Business In T	rincipal Place 🔲 4 🗇 4	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	Diversity (Indicate Citizensh)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	2 Incorporated and F of Business In A		
				Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6 6			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Or	nly)			Click here for: Nature	of Suit Code Descriptions.	
CONTRACT	12:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:	ORTS 1		ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR	Y 🗖 62	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal	☐ 375 False Claims Act☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC	
☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	□ 69	Of Property 21 USC 881	28 USC 157	3729(a))	
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	☐ 367 Health Care/				☐ 400 State Reapportionment	
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical			PROPERTY RIGHTS	1 410 Antitrust	
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability	- 1		820 Copyrights 830 Patent	☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal	.		☐ 835 Patent - Abbreviated	460 Deportation	
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	Injury Product	-		New Drug Application	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
(Excludes Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product	Liability	VEV STATE	POR EDOR	☐ 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud		LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff)	490 Cable/Sat TV	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending		Act	☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/	
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	☐ 380 Other Personal	D 72	0 Labor/Management	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	Exchange	
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage 385 Property Damage	10.74	Relations O Railway Labor Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts	
196 Franchise	☐ 362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability		1 Family and Medical	003 K31 (403(g))	☐ 893 Environmental Matters	
	Medical Malpractice			Leave Act		☐ 895 Freedom of Information	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION		O Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	Act	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure		Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee	D 79	Income Security Act	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	☐ 896 Arbitration ☐ 899 Administrative Procedure	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	1 /442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate	,	ilicollie Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	Act/Review or Appeal of	
240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/	Sentence			26 USC 7609	Agency Decision	
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	530 General	#1.545. h	IMMIGRATION	-	☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	Other:	□ 46	2 Naturalization Application		State Statutes	
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 540 Mandamus & Oth		5 Other Immigration	1		
	Other	550 Civil Rights	İ	Actions			
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition☐ 560 Civil Detainee -	İ				
		Conditions of	- 1				
		Confinement					
Y. ORIGIN (Place an "X" ii	n One Box Only)						
	moved from	Remanded from Appellate Court	□ 4 Rein Reop		er District Litigation		
	_	ntute under which you a	re filing (I	Do not cite jurisdictional sta	tutes unless diversity):		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON 42 U.S.C. 1983 Brief description of ca	auca:					
	Excessive use of					()	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. in excess of \$100,000.00 JURY DEMAND: X Yes DNo							
VIII. RELATED CASE(S)							
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 1/23/18	1 6	SIGNATURE OF AT	ORNEY	record and to		JAN 23 2018	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	1						
RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JUD)GE	

UNITED STATES DIST	TRICT COURT 18 286		
	be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of		
assignment to appropriate calendar. Address of Plaintiff: 212 Wolf Street Ph	iladelphia PA 19/48		
1000 6/1 1000 1	Harrishum PA 17110		
	01		
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Philadelphia (Use Reverse Side For	Additional Space)		
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation			
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a	_ 1 _ / 1		
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes No P		
RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Judge Case Number:	Date Terminated:		
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:			
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one	year previously terminated action in this court?		
	Yes□ No□		
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior action in this court?	suit pending or within one year previously terminated		
	Yes□ No⊡		
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier	numbered case pending or within one year previously Yes No		
terminated action in this court?	162m Mora		
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil right	nts case filed by the same individual?		
	Yes□ No No No		
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)			
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:		
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts		
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury		
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation		
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury		
5. Patent	5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury		
6. Dabor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)		
7. E Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability		
8. 🗆 Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos		
9. Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases		
10 Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)		
11. All other Federal Question Cases			
(Please specify)			
- ARBITRATION CERT	TIFICATION		
I, Coursel of record do hereby cert			
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and			
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	04257		
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	44307769		
DATE: 1/23/18 Count F. Banvetto	651697 34666		
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#		
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if th	ere has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. JAN 23 2018		
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or	within one year previously terminated action in this court		
except as noted above.	ah ci.		
1/23/18 (one 5 Randal	39666		

Attorney-at-Law

Attorney I.D.#

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Dominico Mirarc	hi .	CIVIL ACTION					
Emelia Milara	hi ·	CIVIL ACTION					
Dominico Mirara Gunelia Mirara V. Pennsylvania St et al.	- Police	NO. 18 286					
In accordance with the Civil plaintiff shall complete a Cas filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the edesignation, that defendant state plaintiff and all other par	Justice Expense and Delay Reduce Management Track Designation a copy on all defendants. (See § I went that a defendant does not ashall, with its first appearance, sub	uction Plan of this court, counsel for in Form in all civil cases at the time of 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse gree with the plaintiff regarding said mit to the clerk of court and serve on Designation Form specifying the track					
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	DLLOWING CASE MANAGEM	MENT TRACKS:					
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.							
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.							
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. ()							
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvi exposure to asbestos.	ng claims for personal injury or p	property damage from ()					
commonly referred to as	ases that do not fall into tracks (a complex and that need special or de of this form for a detailed exp	intense management by					
(f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.							
1/23/18 Date 215 389 1900	Attorney-at-law	Dominico Mirarchi Attorney for (5 Benned etter a) benedette					
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address					

(Civ. 660) 10/02



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DOMINICO MIRARCHI and EMELIA MIRARCHI,

18

286

Plaintiffs

100

CIVIL ACTION CASE NO.

COMPLAINT

V.
THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE POLICE,
PENNSYLVANIA STATE POLICE
TROOPER MICHAEL PERILLO, and
PENNSYLVANIA STATE POLICE
TROOP K BARRACKS COMMANDER
BRUCE WILLIAMS,

Defendants

NOW COMES, Plaintiffs, by and through the undersigned Counsel, and hereby brings this Complaint against Defendants as follows:

INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT

- This is a civil rights action brought pursuant to 42 <u>U.S.C.</u> § 1983 seeking
 damages against Defendants for committing acts under color of law that deprived
 Plaintiff of his rights secured by the Constitution and laws of the United States of
 America.
- 2. In particular, on January 26, 2016, Defendant Pennsylvania State Police Trooper Michael Perillo violated the rights of Dominico Mirarchi by assaulting him in violation of the Eighth Amendment and Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.
- 3. In addition, this action is brought by Plaintiffs against Defendants under

Pennsylvania common law.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. Plaintiffs' case arises under the Constitution and laws of the United States, specifically, the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution
- 5. Plaintiffs' suit is authorized by 42 <u>U.S.C.</u> § 1983 (allowing suit to correct constitutional violations) and 42 <u>U.S.C.</u> § 1988 (providing for attorney fees and litigation expense-awards).
- 6. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's federal constitutional claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28 U.S.C. § 1343(3).
- 7. This case also arises under the common law of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 8. This Court has pendant jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' state law claims under 28 <u>U.S.C.</u> §1367.
- 9. Venue is proper under 28 <u>U.S.C.</u> § 1391 in that the incident took place in County of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, and upon information and belief, the individual Defendants reside in or around the County of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

PARTIES

- 10. Plaintiff, Dominico Mirarchi, is an adult individual residing at 1212 Wolf Street in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19148.
- 11. Plaintiff, Emelia Mirarchi, is an adult individual residing at 1212 Wolf Street in

- Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19148. At all times material hereto, she was the wife of Plaintiff Dominico Mirarchi.
- 12. Defendant, the Pennsylvania State Police is a separate legal entity, agency and/or corporation and a body politic whose creation and existence was duly authorized by the Acts of the Assembly of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, with a principal place of business located 1800 Elmerton Avenue, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, 17110.
- Defendant, Pennsylvania State Police Trooper Michael Perillo, ("Defendant Perillo"), operating PSP Cruiser "K 11", is an adult individual who, at all times material to this complaint, was employed by the Pennsylvania State Police and assigned to the Troop K Barracks located at 2201 Belmont Avenue, in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19131. He is sued in his individual capacity. None of Defendant Perillo's actions were privileged, or in the alternative, any privilege which would have otherwise attached was lost through abuse of a conditionally privileged occasion.
- 14. Defendant, Pennsylvania State Police Commander Bruce W. Williams ("Defendant Williams"), is an adult individual who, at all times material to this complain, was commander of the Troop K Barracks located at 2201 Belmont Avenue, in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19131. He is sued in his official and individual capacity. None of Defendant Commander's actions were privileged, or in the alternative, any privilege which would have otherwise attached was lost through abuse of a conditionally privileged occasion.

FACTS

15. On January 24, 2016, Plaintiff, Dominico Mirarchi, then seventy-five (75) years of age, was walking northbound along the shoulder of I-95 in Philadelphia,

- Pennsylvania. Plaintiff was traveling by foot to feed his goats, which were kept on land made inaccessible by car due to a recent snow and ice event.
- 16. Defendant Perillo, in PSP Cruiser K 11, stopped alongside Plaintiff, exited his vehicle, and, without justifiable cause, drew his firearm against Plaintiff.
- 17. Plaintiff, who has difficulty communicating in English, put his hands in the air upon seeing Defendant Perillo's firearm pointed at him.
- 18. Defendant Perillo then placed Plaintiff in handcuffs, which he applied tightly causing Plaintiff to sustain visible abrasions to his right wrist.
- 19. Defendant Perillo searched Plaintiff's person and confiscated a pocket knife which was never returned to him.
- 20. Once in handcuffs, Defendant Perillo violently threw Plaintiff at the rear seat door of his police cruiser and took Plaintiff into custody.
- 21. As a result of Defendant Perillo's aforesaid malicious and intentional acts, Plaintiff suffered a concussion, post-concussion headaches, cervical spine sprain and strain, trapezius sprain and strain, right wrist abrasions, and other injuries to his head, face and body.
- 22. Plaintiff, then seventy-five years of age, did not pose an immediate threat to the safety of Defendant Perillo or anyone else. The force used against Plaintiff was excessive and unreasonable under the circumstances.
- 23. Despite drawing his fire arm, handcuffing Plaintiff, and taking him into custody,
 Defendant Perillo did not issue Plaintiff any citations or charge him with any crime.
- 24. On the following day of this incident, Plaintiff went to the Pennsylvania State Police

 Troop K Barracks at Belmont Avenue in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania to make a

 complaint based on Defendant Perillo's conduct. Plaintiff was intentionally misled by
 a member of the Pennsylvania State Police Troop K that he would need an attorney to

make any type of complaint relating to Defendant Perillo's conduct.

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I PLAINTIFF DOMINICO MIRARCHI v. DEFENDANT MICHAEL PERILLO VIOLATION OF FEDERAL CIVIL RIGHTS PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C. § 1983

- 25. Plaintiff, Dominico Mirarchi, repeats the allegations of the previous paragraphs as if set forth at length herein.
- 26. Plaintiff bases his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 claim on the deprivation of the following rights:
 - a. Right to be free from unwarranted arrest under the Forth Amendment of the United States Constitution;
 - b. Right to be free from unreasonable search and seizure under the *Fourth*Amendment;
 - c. Right to be free from the use of excessive force or force that is not reasonably necessary;
- 27. Defendant Perillo violated Plaintiff's Constitutional Rights in acting with complete indifference to the physical, psychological and emotional conditions and well-being of Plaintiff during and following this particular incident.
- 28. Defendant Perillo's violation of Plaintiff's Constitutional Rights were apparent in light of clearly established law and information which Defendant Perillo possessed.
- 29. Defendant Perillo was acting within the scope of his employment with the Pennsylvania State Police, by and through the authority vested in him by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and under the color of state law.
- 30. As a result of the aforementioned occurrence, and due to intentional and willful acts

of Defendant Perillo, Plaintiff has suffered and will in the future suffer severe emotional distress, bodily injury, pain, emotional distress, humiliation, embarrassment, inconvenience, loss of pleasure and enjoyment of life, past and future medical expenses, hospitalization, nursing, and rehabilitative expenses and physical restrictions and impairments.

31. Defendant Perillo's conduct was such a reckless disregard for the constitutional rights of Plaintiff that it would be proper to award Plaintiff punitive and exemplary damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Dominico Mirarchi seeks judgment against Defendant Michael Perillo in an amount in excess of One Hundred Thousand (\$100,0000.00) Dollars plus interest, cost, attorney's fees, and punitive and exemplary damages.

COUNT II PLAINTIFF DOMINICO MIRARCHI v. DEFENDANTS PENNSYLVANIA STATE POLICE AND PENNSYLVANIA STATE POLICE COMMANDER BRUCE WILLIAMS VIOLATIONS OF 42 U.S.C. 1983

- 32. Plaintiff, Dominico Mirarchi, incorporates by reference the preceding allegations of this Complaint as though each were individually set forth herein at length.
- 33. Defendant Pennsylvania State Police, by and through Defendant Williams, developed polices and/or customs which caused the deprivation of Plaintiff's constitutional rights.
- 34. Said policies were inherently deficient, which caused the unlawful assault upon Plaintiff.
- 35. Defendant Pennsylvania State Police, by and through Defendant Williams, negligently, recklessly, and/or intentionally:

- a. failed to properly train Defendant Perillo with regard to the use of force;
- b. failed to properly supervise Defendant Perillo with regard to the use of force; *and*
- c. maintained polices and/or customs that were deliberately indifferent to individuals' constitutional rights, in that upon learning of the incident involving Plaintiff and Defendant Perillo the Defendants intentionally misled Plaintiff, a non-native English speaker, to believe he would need an attorney to make any type of complaint.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks judgment against Defendants in an amount in excess of One Hundred Thousand (\$100,0000.00) Dollars plus interest, cost, attorney's fees, and punitive and exemplary damages.

COUNT III PLAINTIFF DOMINICO MIRARCHI v. DEFENDANT MICHAEL PERILLO ASSAULT AND BATTERY

- 36. Plaintiff, Dominico Mirarchi repeats the allegations of the previous paragraphs as if set forth at length herein.
- 37. Defendant Perillo's actions, as outlined above, were done with the intent to cause a harmful or offensive contact with Plaintiff's person, or an imminent apprehension of such a contact.
- 38. As a result, Plaintiff was put in such imminent apprehension, and thus assaulted by Defendant Perillo.
- 39. The assault of Plaintiff's person and actual, non-consensual contact by Defendant Perillo constituted battery.
- 40. As a result of the aforementioned occurrence, and due to the intentional and willful acts

- of Defendant, Plaintiff suffered a concussion, post-concussion headaches, cervical spine sprain and strain, trapezius sprain and strain, right wrist abrasions, and other injuries to his head, face and body.
- 41. As a result of the aforementioned occurrence, and due to intention and willful acts of the Defendant Perillo, Plaintiff has suffered and will in the future suffer severe emotional distress, bodily injury, pain, emotional distress, humiliation, embarrassment, inconvenience, loss of pleasure and enjoyment of life, past and future medical expenses, hospitalization, nursing, and rehabilitative expenses and physical restrictions and impairments.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Dominico Mirarchi, seeks judgment against Defendant in an amount in excess of One Hundred Thousand (\$100,0000.00) Dollars plus interest, cost, attorney's fees, and punitive and exemplary damages.

COUNT IV PLAINTIFF DOMINICO MIRARCHI v. DEFENDANT MICHAEL PERILLO INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

- 42. Plaintiff, Dominic Mirarchi, repeats the allegations of the previous paragraphs if set forth at length herein.
- 43. The aforesaid actions of Defendant Perillo were extreme and outrageous, and went beyond all standards of human decency.
- 44. Defendant Perillo intended to cause severe emotional distress to Plaintiff.
- 45. As a result of the aforementioned occurrence, and due to intention and willful acts of the Defendant Perillo, Plaintiff has suffered and will in the future suffer severe emotional distress, bodily injury, pain, emotional distress, humiliation, embarrassment, inconvenience, loss of pleasure and enjoyment of life, past and

future medical expenses, hospitalization, nursing, and rehabilitative expenses and physical restrictions and impairments.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Dominico Mirarchi seeks judgment against Defendant Michael Perillo in an amount in excess of One Hundred Thousand (\$100,0000.00) Dollars plus interest, cost, attorney's fees, and punitive and exemplary damages.

COUNT V PLAINTIFFS v. ALL DEFENDANTS LOSS OF CONSORTIUM

- 46. Plaintiffs, Dominico and Emelia Mirarchi, repeats the allegations of the previous paragraph if set forth at length herein.
- 47. At the time of the incident complained of in Plaintiffs' Complaint, the Plaintiffs' were married and continue to be married.
- 48. As a result of the Defendants' Constitutional violations and Defendant Michael

 Perillo's intentional actions, the Plaintiffs were caused and will continue to suffer in
 the future, loss of consortium, loss of society, affection, assistance and conjugal
 fellowship, all to the detriment of their marital relationship.
- 49. All the aforesaid injuries and damages were caused solely and proximately by the actions of Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, Dominico and Emilia Mirarchi, seek judgment against all Defendants in an amount in excess of One Hundred Thousand (\$100,0000.00) Dollars plus interest, cost, attorney's fees, and punitive and exemplary damages.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff Demands Trial by Jury as to all issues.

LAW OFFICES OF CONRAD J. BENEDETTO

Conrad J. Benedetto, Esquire

ID No.:

Attorney for Plaintiffs 1615 S. Broad Street Philadelphia, Pa. 19148 215-389-1900 Ext: 105

215-271-8910 – Fax

Email: cjbenedetto@benedettolaw.com

Dated: January 22, 2018